

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/690,212

Applicant(s)

MAMDANI ET AL.

Examiner

Kambiz Abdi

Art Unit

3621

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kambiz Abdi.

(3)_____.

(2) Raymond M. Galasso.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 09 June 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,8,51 and 53-55.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney representing the applicant was contacted by telephone to discuss the merits of the claimed invention as it was presented by the previous examiner in the office action dated 4 October. It was brought to the attention of the attorney Galasso that claims 51, 53, 54, and 55 were not in the condition of allowance as they have been presented in the application at this point. Examiner extended the offer to forward an office action but is was agreed by attorney Galasso to an examiner's amendment to filed by the examiner to cancel the claims mentioned above, so to expedite the prosecution of current application..